

202.783.4211 Fax mhamilton@shb.com

 TO SHANTO ABEDIN, USPTO
 PHONE 571-272-3551
 FAX FROM
 Monplaisir G. Hamilton Hamilton Square

 FROM
 Reg No. #
 SERIAL #
 500 44th Street NW. State 200

FROM Keg No. # SERIAL # 600 14th Street, NW., Suite 800 MONPLAISIR G. HAMILTON (IT#14921) 54,851 10/602,626 (MFCP.101281) D.C. 20005-2004 2027.783.8400

3 Pages Transmitted including cover 27 JULY 2007
Original Document Will Not Follow
If you experience any problems, please call 202.783.8400 extension 46047.

COMMENTS:

Per our conversation this an applicant initiated request for interview.

Geneva Houston Kansas City London Miami Orange County San Francisco Tampa



Page 2

Applicant Initiated Interview Request

Application No.: 10/602,626

First Named Applicant: Flora P. Goldthwaite, et al.

Examiner: Shanto Abedin

Art Unit: 2136

Status of Application: FINAL

Tentative Participants:

(1) Monplaisir G. Hamilton, Reg. No. 54,851

(2) Shanto Abedin

- Proposed Date of Interview: 15 August 2007 @ 9:30 a.m.
- 2. Type of Interview Requested: Personal
- 3. No Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated.
- 4. Issues To Be Discussed

Issues(Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed
102	16–19, 21– 27, and 29– 31	Publ. 2002/0149705 ("Allen").		
103	1-6, 8-15, 20, and 28	Allen in View of U.S. Patent No. 6,359,970 ("Burgess")		

5. Proposed Amendments:

Below are proposed amendments that attempt to clarify the scope of the claimed invention over the prior art.

Claim Amendments

 (Currently Amended) A method for providing electronic communications management capability for managing a unique identity owned by an identity owner, wherein the unique identity is accessible through an associated reference, the method comprising:

Geneva Houston Kansas City London Miami Orange County San Francisco Tampa Washington, D.C.



providing identity access tools for allowing the identity owner to select a set of authorized identities that have rights to communicate with the identity owner, <u>wherein the</u> authorized identities correspond to different types of electronic devices; and

Page 3

providing device selection tools for allowing the identity owner to select at least one electronic device for reception of communications, wherein the at least one electronic device is authorized, by the identity owner, to accept communications from the authorized identities based on at least one of a time associated with the communications or a format associated with the communications.

With respect to independent claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that the cited prior art, including Allen, fails to disclose, among other things, providing identity access tools for allowing the identity owner to select a set of authorized identities that have rights to communicate with the identity owner, as recited in independent claim 1. The Office relies upon Allen, at FIG 5., paragraphs [0026], [0063], [0066], and [0091]–[0093] to teach the above element of claim 1. The cited portions of Allen describe managing a contact list by synching contact among different devices. Nothing in the cited portions of Allen teach or suggest an identity owner that authorizes selected identities to communicate with the identity owner. Further, Allen fails to teach or suggest authorizing at least one electronic device to accept communications from the authorized selected identities based on at least one of a time associated with the communication or a format associated with the communication.

Respectfully Submitted,

Monplaisir Hamilton

Geneva Houston Kansas City London Miami Orange County San Francisco Tampa Washington, D.C.